

# NON NORMALITY NUMBERS

S. DOLECKI, T. NOGURA, R. PEIRONE, AND G.M. REED

ABSTRACT. Non normality number and strong non normality number of a topological space are introduced to the effect that a topology is normal if and only if its non normality number is 1 if and only if its strong non normality number is 1. It is proved that for every cardinal  $\kappa$ , there exists a completely regular topology of non normality and strong non normality  $\kappa$ ; for every uncountable regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , there exists a (completely regular) Moore space of non normality and cardinality  $\kappa$ . On the other hand, for every cardinals  $\kappa < \lambda$  there exists a completely regular topology of strong non normality  $\kappa$  and non normality greater than  $\lambda$ . As an answer to a question of U. Marconi, it is proved that the non normality number of every separable regular topology with a closed discrete subset of cardinality continuum, is at least continuum.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Roughly speaking, the non normality number indicates how much non normal is a topology. The concept has been introduced in [1] on the occasion of study of kernels of upper semicontinuous relations.

If we denote by  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  the *neighborhood filter* of  $A$ ,<sup>1</sup> then a space<sup>2</sup> is not normal if and only if there exist two (non empty) disjoint closed sets  $A_0, A_1$  such that the filter supremum  $\mathcal{N}(A_0) \vee \mathcal{N}(A_1)$  is non degenerate. The *non normality (number)*  $\nu(X)$  of a space  $X$  is the supremum of cardinals  $\kappa$  such that there exists a disjoint family  $\mathcal{A}$  of non empty closed subsets of  $X$  with  $|\mathcal{A}| = \kappa$ , and the supremum of the neighborhood filters of the elements of

---

*Date:* January 30, 2003.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54D15.

Some results of this paper were presented by S. D. at the Spring Conference on Topology and Dynamical Systems in Morelia, Mexico, in March 2001, and by G. M. R. at the Summer Conference on Topology and its Applications in New York in July 2001. This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Science Research No. 00702. The first author's work has been partly supported by grants of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, of the French Ministry of Foreign Affairs and of the University of Oxford (England). The authors are grateful to an anonymous referee who kindly pointed out some inaccuracies in a preliminary version of the paper.

<sup>1</sup>The neighborhood filter of a non empty set  $A$  is generated by all the open sets that include  $A$ ; in particular,  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  stands for the neighborhood filter of  $x$ .

<sup>2</sup>In this paper a *space* means a topological Hausdorff space.

$\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N}(A)$$

is non degenerate (that is,  $O_0 \cap O_1 \cap \dots \cap O_n \neq \emptyset$  for every finite subset  $\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and each choice of open sets  $O_0 \supset A_0, O_1 \supset A_1, \dots, O_n \supset A_n$ ). The *strong non normality (number)*  $s\nu(X)$  is the supremum of cardinals  $\kappa$  such that there exists a disjoint family  $\mathcal{A}$  of non empty closed subsets of  $X$  with  $|\mathcal{A}| = \kappa$ , and  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} O_A \neq \emptyset$  for every choice  $O_A \in \mathcal{N}(A)$  with  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . If the supremum in the definitions above is attained, then we say that the (strong) non normality is *attained*. In these terms, a (non empty) space  $X$  is normal if and only if  $\nu(X) = 1$  if and only if  $s\nu(X) = 1$ . In general  $s\nu(X) \leq \nu(X) \leq |X|$  and if  $\nu(X)$  is finite or non attained  $\aleph_0$ , then both the non normalities coincide. We shall also consider intermediate non normality numbers: if  $\zeta$  is a cardinal, then the  $\zeta$ -*non-normality (number)*  $\nu_\zeta(X)$  is the supremum of cardinals  $\kappa$  such that there exists a disjoint family  $\mathcal{A}$  of non empty closed subsets of  $X$  with  $|\mathcal{A}| = \kappa$  such that for every  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  with  $|\mathcal{A}_0| < \zeta$ , one has  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}_0} O_A \neq \emptyset$  for every choice  $O_A \in \mathcal{N}(A)$ . Of course,  $\nu_\zeta(X) \leq \nu_{\aleph_0}(X) = \nu(X)$  for  $\aleph_0 \leq \zeta$ , and  $s\nu(X) = \kappa$  whenever  $\nu_{\kappa^+}(X) = \kappa$ , where  $\kappa^+$  is the least among the cardinals greater than  $\kappa$ .

It is immediate that for every  $\zeta$ , if  $X$  is a closed subspace of  $Y$ , then  $\nu_\zeta(X) \leq \nu_\zeta(Y)$  (and the inequality can be strict), and if  $f$  is a closed continuous map, then  $\nu_\zeta(X) \geq \nu_\zeta(f(X))$ , but this need not hold for a (continuous) open map. It follows again from the known facts about normality that in general neither  $\nu(f^{-1}(Y)) \leq \nu(Y)$  for open perfect maps, nor  $\nu(X \times Y) \leq \nu(X) \times \nu(Y)$ . Actually, there exists a normal space  $X$  such that  $\nu(X^2) = |X| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ .

In this paper we prove that for every cardinals  $\kappa \leq \lambda$  there exist a completely regular space of non normality and strong non normality  $\kappa$ , and a completely regular space of strong non normality  $\kappa$  and non normality greater than  $\lambda$ . Also, if  $\zeta < \kappa$  are infinite regular cardinals, then there exists a completely regular space of  $\zeta$ -non-normality and cardinality  $\kappa$ ; in particular, for every regular uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$  there exists a Moore space of non normality and cardinality  $\kappa$ . On the other hand, if a space of density  $\delta$  admits a closed discrete subset of cardinality  $2^\delta$  then its non normality is at least  $2^\delta$ .

## 2. EACH CARDINAL IS A NON NORMALITY NUMBER

**Example 2.1.** Let  $(\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$  be uncountable regular cardinals (equipped with the order topology) such that  $\kappa < \xi_0$  and for every  $0 < \beta < \kappa$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad \prod_{\alpha < \beta} \xi_\alpha < \xi_\beta.$$

Consider  $X_\kappa = \prod_{\alpha < \kappa} [0, \xi_\alpha]$  and let

$$Z_\alpha = \prod_{\beta < \alpha} \{\xi_\beta\} \times [0, \xi_\alpha[ \times \prod_{\alpha < \beta < \kappa} \{\xi_\beta\}.$$

For every  $\alpha$  and each  $x \in Z_\alpha$  the neighborhood filter of  $x$  is that of the box topology of  $X_\kappa$ , and all the other elements of  $X_\kappa$  are isolated. As every element of  $X_\kappa$  admits a neighborhood base consisting of clopen sets, the topology is completely regular.

**Example 2.2.** We shall modify the topology of  $X_\kappa$  of Example 2.1 so that for each  $\alpha$  and every element  $(\zeta_\beta)_{\beta < \kappa}$  of  $Z_\alpha$  (hence  $\zeta_\alpha < \xi_\alpha$  and  $\zeta_\beta = \xi_\beta$  for each  $\beta \neq \alpha$ ) basic neighborhoods are of the form  $\prod_{\beta < \alpha} [\gamma_\beta, \xi_\beta] \times \{\zeta_\alpha\} \times \prod_{\alpha < \beta < \kappa} [\gamma_\beta, \xi_\beta]$ . This topology is completely regular, because all the neighborhood filters admit bases of clopen sets.

**Lemma 2.3.** In the space of Example 2.2, if  $F_\alpha$  is an unbounded subset of  $Z_\alpha$  and  $O_\alpha$  is an open set that includes  $F_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha < \kappa$ , then  $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} O_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, for every  $\alpha, \beta < \kappa$  such that  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , and for each  $x = (x_\gamma)_{\gamma < \kappa} \in F_\alpha$ , there is  $h_\beta^\alpha(x) < \xi_\beta$  for which

$$\prod_{\alpha < \beta} [h_\beta^\alpha(x), \xi_\beta] \times \{x_\alpha\} \times \prod_{\alpha < \beta < \kappa} [h_\beta^\alpha(x), \xi_\beta] \subset O_\alpha.$$

If  $\beta > \alpha$ , then

$$h_\beta^\alpha = \sup_{x \in F_\alpha} h_\beta^\alpha(x) < \xi_\beta.$$

If  $\beta < \alpha$ , then there is  $\varphi(\beta) < \xi_\beta$  such that the set

$$A_\varphi = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} \{x \in F_\alpha : h_\beta^\alpha(x) \leq \varphi(\beta)\}$$

is unbounded, for otherwise  $\sigma_\varphi = \sup A_\varphi < \xi_\alpha$  for every  $\varphi \in \prod_{\beta < \alpha} \xi_\beta$ , and thus  $\sup\{\sigma_\varphi : \varphi \in \prod_{\beta < \alpha} \xi_\beta\} < \xi_\alpha$  by the regularity of  $\xi_\alpha$  and by (2.1); on the other hand,  $F_\alpha$  is the union of  $A_\varphi$  with  $\varphi$  running over  $\prod_{\beta < \alpha} \xi_\beta$ , which yields a contradiction. Therefore there exists an unbounded subset  $C_\alpha$  of  $F_\alpha$  such that for every  $\beta < \alpha$ ,

$$h_\beta^\alpha = \sup_{x \in C_\alpha} h_\beta^\alpha(x) < \xi_\beta.$$

Because for every  $\beta < \kappa$ , the cardinal  $\xi_\beta$  is regular and greater than  $\kappa$ ,

$$h_\beta = \sup_{\kappa > \alpha \neq \beta} h_\beta^\alpha < \xi_\beta.$$

As a result,  $\prod_{\beta < \alpha} [h_\beta, \xi_\beta] \times C_\alpha \times \prod_{\alpha < \beta < \kappa} [h_\beta, \xi_\beta] \subset O_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ . It follows that if  $\zeta_\alpha \in C_\alpha$  is such that  $h_\alpha < \zeta_\alpha < \xi_\alpha$ , then  $(\zeta_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa} \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} O_\alpha$ . ■

**Theorem 2.4.** *For every cardinal  $\kappa$ , there exists a completely regular space  $X_\kappa$  such that  $s\nu(X_\kappa) = \nu(X_\kappa) = \kappa$  and both non normalities are attained.*

*Proof.* Consider the space  $X_\kappa$  of Example 2.1. Because the sets  $Z_\alpha$  are closed and the topology is coarser than that of Example 2.2, by Lemma 2.3, the strong non normality of  $X_\kappa$  is at least  $\kappa$ . We will prove that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a disjoint family of closed subsets of  $X_\kappa$  such that (1.1) is non degenerate, then its cardinality is not greater than  $\kappa$ . Because no regular cardinal greater than  $\aleph_0$  includes two disjoint unbounded closed subsets, there are at most  $\kappa$  elements  $A$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that there exists  $\alpha$  for which  $A \cap Z_\alpha$  is unbounded in  $Z_\alpha$ . Hence if  $|\mathcal{A}| > \kappa$ , then there exists  $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that for every  $\alpha < \kappa$  there is a non limit ordinal  $\zeta_\alpha < \xi_\alpha$  with

$$A_0 \cap \left( \prod_{\beta < \alpha} \{\xi_\beta\} \times [\zeta_\alpha, \xi_\alpha] \times \prod_{\alpha < \beta < \kappa} \{\xi_\beta\} \right) = \emptyset.$$

Let  $A_1$  be another element of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Because each  $Z_\alpha$  is normal, there exist disjoint open subsets  $P_0^\alpha$  and  $P_1^\alpha$  of  $Z_\alpha$  such that  $A_0 \cap Z_\alpha \subset P_0^\alpha$  and  $A_1 \cap Z_\alpha \subset P_1^\alpha$ , and moreover  $P_0^\alpha$  is disjoint from  $\prod_{\beta < \alpha} \{\xi_\beta\} \times [\zeta_\alpha, \xi_\alpha] \times \prod_{\alpha < \beta < \kappa} \{\xi_\beta\}$ . Then let  $O_0$  be the union of  $A_0 \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} Z_\alpha$  and of open boxes

$$O_0^\alpha = \bigcup_{y \in P_0^\alpha} \prod_{\beta < \kappa} [\gamma_\beta^\alpha(y), \delta_\beta^\alpha(y)]$$

disjoint from  $A_1$  such that  $\delta_\alpha^\alpha(y) = y_\alpha$  (the  $\alpha$ -component of  $y$ ),  $[\gamma_\alpha^\alpha(y), \delta_\alpha^\alpha(y)] \subset P_0^\alpha$  and  $\zeta_\beta < \gamma_\beta^\alpha(y) < \delta_\beta^\alpha(y) = \xi_\beta$  for  $\beta \neq \alpha$ . Similarly, let  $O_1$  be the union of  $A_1 \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} Z_\alpha$  and of open boxes

$$O_1^\alpha = \bigcup_{z \in P_1^\alpha} \prod_{\beta < \kappa} [\varepsilon_\beta^\alpha(z), \eta_\beta^\alpha(z)]$$

disjoint from  $A_0$  and such that  $\eta_\alpha^\alpha(z) = z_\alpha$  (the  $\alpha$ -component of  $z$ ),  $[\varepsilon_\alpha^\alpha(z), \eta_\alpha^\alpha(z)] \subset P_1^\alpha$  and  $\zeta_\beta < \varepsilon_\beta^\alpha(z) < \eta_\beta^\alpha(z) = \xi_\beta$  for  $\beta \neq \alpha$ . The sets  $O_0, O_1$  are open, because  $X_\kappa \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} Z_\alpha$  is discrete; they are disjoint, because if  $x \in O_0^{\alpha_0} \cap O_1^{\alpha_1}$ , then either  $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \alpha$  and thus there exist  $y \in A_0 \cap Z_\alpha$  and  $z \in A_1 \cap Z_\alpha$  such that  $[\gamma_\alpha^\alpha(y), \delta_\alpha^\alpha(y)] \cap [\varepsilon_\alpha^\alpha(z), \eta_\alpha^\alpha(z)] \neq \emptyset$ , hence  $P_0^\alpha \cap P_1^\alpha \neq \emptyset$  contrary to the hypothesis, or  $\alpha_0 \neq \alpha_1$ , then  $[\gamma_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_0}(y), \delta_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_0}(y)] \cap [\varepsilon_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_1}(z), \eta_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_1}(z)] = \emptyset$  because  $\delta_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_0}(y) < \zeta_{\alpha_0}$  and  $\zeta_{\alpha_0} < \varepsilon_{\alpha_0}^{\alpha_1}(z)$  for each  $y \in A_0 \cap Z_\alpha$  and  $z \in A_1 \cap Z_\alpha$ . ■

**Theorem 2.5.** *For every infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  there exists a completely regular space  $X_\kappa$  of density  $\kappa$  such that  $s\nu(X_\kappa) \leq \kappa$  and  $\nu(X_\kappa) = 2^\kappa$ .*

*Proof.* By Hewitt-Marczewski-Pondiczery theorem there is a dense subset  $S$  of cardinality  $\kappa$  of  $\{0, 1\}^{2^\kappa}$  (endowed with the product topology); on the other hand, there is a discrete subspace  $D$  of cardinality  $2^\kappa$  of  $\{0, 1\}^{2^\kappa}$  disjoint from  $S$ . We consider  $X = S \cup D$  with the topology in which all the elements of  $S$  are isolated, while those of  $D$  have the neighborhoods inherited from  $\{0, 1\}^{2^\kappa}$ . Because  $|X| = 2^\kappa$  (hence the cardinality of each disjoint family is at most  $2^\kappa$ ) and by Theorem 3.5,  $\nu(X) = 2^\kappa$ . On the other hand,

if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a disjoint collection of closed sets such that  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} O_A \neq \emptyset$  for every choice of open sets  $O_A \supset A$ , then  $|\mathcal{A}| < \kappa$ . Indeed, it is not restrictive to assume that  $A \subset D$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , because  $S$  is open and discrete. Furthermore, since  $D$  is closed and discrete, it is enough to consider the case where  $O_A \setminus S = A$ , and thus  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} O_A \subset S$ . If now  $|\mathcal{A}| \geq \kappa$  and  $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is an injective map, then  $\{O_{f(x)} \setminus \{x\} : x \in S\}$  is a family of open sets such that  $f(x) \subset O_{f(x)}$  for every  $x \in S$ , and  $\bigcap_{x \in S} (O_{f(x)} \setminus \{x\}) = \emptyset$ . ■

**Theorem 2.6.** *For infinite cardinals  $\kappa < \lambda$  there exists a completely regular space  $X_\kappa$  such that  $sv(X_\kappa) = \kappa$  and is attained, and  $\nu(X_\kappa) \geq \lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the space of Example 2.2 and add the assumption that  $\lambda \leq \xi_0$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $sv(X_\kappa) \geq \kappa$ . Conversely, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a disjoint family of closed sets and  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} O_A \neq \emptyset$  for every choice of open sets  $O_A \supset A$  with  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \kappa$ . Indeed, if for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and every  $\alpha < \kappa$ , we consider  $O_A^\alpha = \pi_\alpha^{-1}(A \cap Z_\alpha)$  (where  $\pi_\alpha$  is the projection on the  $\alpha$ -th component) and  $O_A^\kappa = A \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} Z_\alpha$ , then  $O_A = \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \kappa} O_A^\alpha$  is an open set that includes  $A$ ; if now  $x \in \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{A}} O_A$  then for every  $A$  there is  $\psi(A) \leq \kappa$  with  $x \in O_A^{\psi(A)}$ . Since for each  $\alpha \leq \kappa$  the sets  $O_{A_0}^\alpha \cap O_{A_1}^\alpha = \emptyset$  whenever  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are distinct elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we infer that  $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \kappa + 1$  is injective, so that  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \kappa$ .

We will find a disjoint family  $\mathcal{A}$  of closed sets with  $|\mathcal{A}| = \lambda$  and such that (1.1) is non degenerate. Since  $\lambda \leq \xi_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha$  we can find a disjoint family  $\{E_\beta^\alpha : \beta < \lambda\}$  of subsets of  $\xi_\alpha$  such that  $|E_\beta^\alpha| = \xi_\alpha$ . It follows that every  $E_\beta^\alpha$  is unbounded in  $\xi_\alpha$ . Let  $A_\beta^\alpha = \prod_{\gamma < \alpha} \{\xi_\gamma\} \times E_\beta^\alpha \times \prod_{\alpha < \gamma < \kappa} \{\xi_\gamma\}$  and define

$$A_\beta = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} A_\beta^\alpha.$$

Each  $A_\beta$  is closed as the union of a locally finite family of closed sets, and the family  $\{A_\beta : \beta < \lambda\}$  is disjoint. If  $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \beta_n$  and  $O_i \supset A_{\beta_i}$  are open sets, then a fortiori  $O_i \supset A_{\beta_i}^i$ , hence  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n O_i \neq \emptyset$  by Lemma 2.3. ■

### 3. WHEN NON NORMALITY IS EQUAL TO CARDINALITY

Theorem 2.4 establishes the existence, for each cardinal  $\kappa$ , of a completely regular space of non normality and strong non normality equal  $\kappa$ . However the construction used in the proof yields a space of very big cardinality. If we reconsider the problem for regular (uncountable) cardinals, then it is possible to construct a space of prescribed non normality equal to its cardinality.

We shall generalize a construction of G. M. Reed [6] and apply it to subsets of predecessors of fixed cofinality of a given regular cardinal. Let us remind that if  $\kappa$  is a regular uncountable cardinal, then the family  $\mathcal{D}_\kappa$  of closed unbounded subsets of  $\kappa$  is a filter base, and that a subset  $S$  is *stationary* if it meshes with every element of  $\mathcal{D}_\kappa$ . It is known [4, Lemma 7.4] that if  $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{D}_\kappa$  is of cardinality less than  $\kappa$ , then  $\bigcap \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{D}_\kappa$ . Dually,

**Lemma 3.1.** [5, p. 78] *If  $0 < \zeta < \kappa$  and  $\bigcup_{\beta < \zeta} E_\beta$  is stationary in  $\kappa$ , then there is  $\beta < \zeta$  such that  $E_\beta$  is stationary.*

We shall also use the fact [5] that if  $\zeta$  is an infinite regular cardinal smaller than  $\kappa$ , then the set  $\{\alpha < \kappa : \text{cf}(\alpha) = \zeta\}$  is stationary in  $\kappa$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $\kappa$  is an uncountable regular cardinal, then there exists a completely regular space  $X$  of cardinality  $\kappa$  such that  $\nu_\zeta(X) = \kappa$  for every regular cardinal  $\zeta < \kappa$ .*

*Proof.* Consider  $X = \kappa \times (\kappa + 1)$  and for every non-zero limit ordinal  $\sigma < \kappa$  let  $\{\beta_\gamma^\sigma < \sigma : \gamma < \text{cf}(\sigma)\}$  be a set of ordinals such that  $\sigma = \sup_{\gamma < \text{cf}(\sigma)} \beta_\gamma^\sigma$ . For  $\gamma < \text{cf}(\sigma)$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad G_\gamma(\sigma) = \{(\sigma, \kappa)\} \cup \bigcup_{\eta \leq \gamma < \text{cf}(\sigma)} ([\beta_\eta^\sigma, \sigma] \times \{\eta\}),$$

is declared to be a neighborhood base of  $(\sigma, \kappa)$ . All other elements are isolated. This is a completely regular space of cardinality  $\kappa$  and thus  $\nu_\zeta(X) \leq \kappa$  for each infinite regular cardinal  $\zeta$  less than  $\kappa$ . We claim that  $\nu_\zeta(X) = \kappa$ . Then the subset  $S(\zeta)$  of  $\kappa$ , of elements of cofinality  $\zeta$ , is stationary. By the Solovay theorem [4, Theorem 85]  $S(\zeta) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} S_\alpha$ , where  $\{S_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  is a disjoint collection of stationary sets. On the other hand,  $\{S_\alpha \times \{\kappa\} : \alpha < \kappa\}$  is a disjoint collection of closed subsets of  $X$ . If  $\alpha < \kappa$  and  $O_\alpha$  is an open set that includes  $S_\alpha \times \{\kappa\}$ , then there is a map  $f_\alpha : S_\alpha \rightarrow \zeta$  such that the neighborhood  $G_{f_\alpha(\sigma)}(\sigma)$  of  $(\sigma, \kappa)$  is a subset of  $O_\alpha$  and  $\beta_{f_\alpha(\sigma)}^\sigma < \sigma$  for every  $\sigma \in S_\alpha$ . By Lemma 3.1, there exists  $\gamma(\alpha) < \zeta$  such that  $W_\alpha = \{\sigma \in S_\alpha : f_\alpha(\sigma) = \gamma(\alpha)\}$  is stationary. For every subset  $A$  of  $\kappa$  with  $|A| < \zeta$ , let  $\gamma_A = \sup\{\gamma(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ . Then  $\gamma_A < \zeta$  and  $\bigcup_{\sigma \in W_\alpha} ([\beta_{\gamma_A}^\sigma, \sigma] \times \{\gamma_A\}) \subset O_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ . Because  $W_\alpha$  is stationary and  $\beta_{\gamma_A}^\sigma < \sigma$  for every  $\sigma \in W_\alpha$ , hence in virtue of the Fodor theorem [4, Theorem 22], there exist  $\delta_\alpha < \kappa$  and a stationary (hence unbounded) subset  $Y_\alpha$  of  $W_\alpha$  such that  $\beta_{\gamma_A}^\sigma = \delta_\alpha$  for every  $\sigma \in Y_\alpha$ . Because  $Y_\alpha$  is unbounded,  $\bigcup_{\sigma \in Y_\alpha} [\delta_\alpha, \sigma] = [\delta_\alpha, \kappa[$  and thus

$$[\delta_\alpha, \kappa[ \times \{\gamma_A\} \subset \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_\alpha} G_{f_\alpha(\sigma)}(\sigma) \subset O_\alpha$$

for each  $\alpha \in A$  and  $\sup_{\alpha \in A} \delta_\alpha < \kappa$ . Therefore  $\emptyset \neq \{\gamma : \sup_{\alpha \in A} \delta_\alpha < \gamma < \kappa\} \times \{\gamma_A\} \subset \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} O_\alpha$ . ■

If we simplify the construction in the proof above by taking  $X = \kappa \times \omega_0$ , by declaring isolated all the elements except for those of the form  $(\sigma, \omega_0)$  with  $\sigma$  of countable cofinality, and for which the neighborhood is given by (3.1), then we get a (completely) regular topology that admits a development, that is, a *Moore space*.

**Corollary 3.3.** *For each uncountable regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , there exists a completely regular Moore space which attained non normality and cardinality are both  $\kappa$ .*

Let  $\kappa$  be weakly inaccessible, that is, regular uncountable limit cardinal. Then  $\kappa = \sup_{\alpha < \kappa} \zeta_\alpha$ , where  $\text{cf}(\zeta_\alpha) = \zeta_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ . It follows from Theorem 3.2 that there exists a completely regular space  $X$  such that  $\sup_{\text{cf}(\zeta) = \zeta < \kappa} \nu_\zeta(X) = \kappa = |X|$ . This implies neither that  $\nu_\kappa(X) = \kappa$  nor the existence of a completely regular space  $X$  for which  $s\nu(X) = |X| = \kappa$ . The existence of weakly inaccessible cardinals is not provable in **ZFC**. Does there exist in **ZFC** (for each regular  $\kappa$ ) a completely regular space  $X$  such that  $s\nu(X) = |X| = \kappa$ ?

One of the classical examples of a non normal completely regular space is the Niemytzki plane [2, Example 1.5.10].

**Example 3.4.** *The Niemytzki plane is the upper half plane  $X$  in which the elements with non zero ordinate have Euclidean neighborhoods, while for every  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , a neighborhood base of  $(r, 0)$  consists of closed discs  $V(r, \varepsilon)$  of radius  $\varepsilon > 0$  that are tangent to  $L = \{(s, 0) : s \in \mathbb{R}\}$  at  $(r, 0)$ . It was proved in [1] that its non normality is continuum. Let us show that the strong non normality is (non attained)  $\aleph_0$ . As the non normality is infinite, the strong normality is at least  $\aleph_0$ . Notice that because  $\{(s, t) : s \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0\}$  is normal, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a disjoint family of closed subsets of  $X$  and there is a family  $\{Q_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of open sets such that  $A \cap L \subset Q_A$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\bigcap_{Q_A \in \mathcal{A}} Q_A = \emptyset$ , then there is a family  $\{O_A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  of open sets such that  $A \subset O_A$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\bigcap_{Q_A \in \mathcal{A}} O_A = \emptyset$ . Therefore in order to get an upper bound of the strong non normality of  $X$ , it suffices to consider disjoint families of subsets of  $L$  (necessarily closed, because  $L$  is closed and discrete). If  $(A_n)$  is a disjoint sequence of subsets of  $L$ , then  $B_n = \bigcup_{(r,0) \in A_n} V(r, \frac{1}{n})$  is a neighborhood of  $A_n$  for each  $n < \omega$ , and  $\bigcap_{n < \omega} B_n = \emptyset$ .*

The Niemytzki plane is separable and includes a closed discrete subset of cardinality continuum. Umberto Marconi (University of Padua) conjectured that the non normality of each separable space that includes a closed discrete subset of cardinality continuum, is at least continuum. This conjecture is confirmed below for regular spaces.

By  $\beta(\mathcal{F})$  we denote Stone transform of a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on a discrete space  $X$  that is, the set of all ultrafilters that are finer than  $\mathcal{F}$ . In particular, if  $A \subset X$  then  $\beta(A)$  stands for the set of all ultrafilters that contain  $A$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *The non normality of a regular infinite space of density  $\kappa$  that admits a closed discrete subset of cardinality  $2^\kappa$ , is at least the attained  $2^\kappa$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a regular space,  $S$  a dense subset of cardinality  $\kappa$ , and  $D$  a closed, discrete subset of cardinality  $2^\kappa$ . The generality is not lost if we assume that  $S \cap D = \emptyset$ . It is enough to show that there exists a disjoint family  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $D$  (as  $D$  is closed and discrete, these subsets are necessarily closed) such that the cardinality of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $2^\kappa$ , and (1.1) is non degenerate in  $S \cup D$  with the induced topology. For every  $x \in D$ , let  $\mathcal{U}(x)$  be an ultrafilter on  $S$  such that  $\mathcal{U}(x) \supset \mathcal{N}(x)$ . Define on  $S \cup D$  the following

space: the elements of  $S$  are isolated and, for every  $x \in D$ , the only free filter that converges to  $x$  is  $\mathcal{U}(x)$ . The new topology is finer than the topology originally induced from  $X$ , hence  $D$  is closed, discrete in the new topology. It follows that  $S \cup D$  is regular, and thus the natural embedding into  $\beta S$  is homeomorphic (hence  $S \cup D$  is completely regular).

There exists  $p \in \beta S \setminus S$  such that  $|U \cap D| = 2^\kappa$  for every  $U \in \mathcal{N}(p)$ . In fact, if for every  $p \in \text{cl}_{\beta S} D \setminus S$ , there existed  $U_p \in \mathcal{N}(p)$  with  $|U_p \cap D| < 2^\kappa$ , then by the compactness of  $\text{cl}_{\beta S} D$ , the set  $D$  would be covered by a finite union of sets of cardinality less than  $2^\kappa$ , what contradicts  $|D| = 2^\kappa$ .

Let  $\{V_\zeta : \zeta < \lambda\}$  be a neighborhood base of  $p$  ( $\lambda \leq 2^\kappa$  because the weight of  $\beta S$  is  $2^\kappa$ ) and let  $\varphi : 2^\kappa \times \lambda \rightarrow 2^\kappa$  be a one-to-one map. Let  $W_{\varphi(\alpha, \zeta)} = V_\zeta$  for every  $\alpha < 2^\kappa$ . Then there exists a set  $\{p_\xi : \xi < 2^\kappa\}$  of distinct elements such that  $p_\xi \in D \cap W_\xi$  for every  $\xi < 2^\kappa$ . Indeed, let  $p_0 \in W_0 \cap D$  be arbitrary, and suppose that we have already constructed  $\{p_\xi : \xi < \delta\}$ . As the set  $W_\delta \cap D$  is of cardinality  $2^\kappa$ , and the set  $\{p_\xi : \xi < \delta\}$  is of cardinality less than  $2^\kappa$ , there exists  $p_\delta \in W_\delta \cap D \setminus \{p_\xi : \xi < \delta\}$ . Therefore, if  $D_\alpha = \{p_\xi : \xi = \varphi(\alpha, \zeta), \zeta < \lambda\}$ , then  $p \in \bigcap_{\alpha < 2^\kappa} \text{cl} D_\alpha$ .

Consequently, if  $O_\alpha$  is an open subset of  $S \cup D$  that includes  $D_\alpha$ , then  $\beta(O_\alpha \cap S)$  is a clopen set that includes  $D_\alpha$ , that is,  $p \in \beta(O_\alpha \cap S)$ . For each finite choice  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ , the intersection  $\bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq m} \beta(O_{\alpha_k} \cap S)$  is a neighborhood of  $p$ , hence  $\bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq m} O_{\alpha_k} \supset \bigcap_{1 \leq k \leq m} \beta(O_{\alpha_k} \cap S) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{A} = \{D_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\kappa\}$  is a family of closed subsets  $S \cup D$  of such that (1.1) is non degenerate, thus a fortiori it is non degenerate with respect to the original topology. ■

By Theorem 2.5 for every cardinal  $\kappa$  there exists a completely regular topology fulfilling the assumptions of Theorem 3.5.

**Corollary 3.6.** *The non normality of every regular separable space with a closed discrete subset of cardinality continuum, is at least (the attained) continuum.*

It follows that the Sorgenfrey line is a (perfectly) normal space  $X$  such that  $\nu(X^2) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ , because its square is a separable space which diagonal is a closed discrete subset of cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

Is the non normality of a space of density  $\kappa$  and of extent  $2^\kappa$  equal to  $2^\kappa$ ? It is known [7] that if  $B$  is a subset of real numbers, then  $M(B)$ , the *Moore space derived from  $B$* ,<sup>3</sup> is normal if and only if  $B$  is a  $Q$ -set, that is, such that its every subset is relative  $F_\sigma$ , and that there is the least cardinal  $\mathfrak{ss}$  such that  $\kappa \geq \mathfrak{ss}$  if and only if there exists a  $Q$ -set of cardinality  $\kappa$  [3]. On the other hand, if  $2^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_1}$ , then there is a separable normal  $T_1$  space with an uncountable closed discrete subspace [7, Example E].

<sup>3</sup>The subspace of the Niemytzki plane with  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$  replaced by  $B \times \{0\}$ .



The non normality of a separable space with a closed discrete subset of cardinality  $\mathfrak{ss} \leq \kappa < 2^{\aleph_0}$  need not be  $\kappa$ , because  $\mathfrak{ss} = \omega_1$  is compatible with  $2^{\aleph_0} = 2^{\aleph_1}$ <sup>4</sup>.

#### 4. TOPLESS PRODUCTS OF ORDINALS

A classical example of a non normal, completely regular space is  $[0, \omega_0] \times [0, \omega_1] \setminus \{(\omega_0, \omega_1)\}$  endowed with its natural topology. It follows from Proposition 4.2 that the non normality (strong non normality) is 2.

Let  $(\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$  be regular cardinals fulfilling the condition of Example 2.1. Let  $Y = \prod_{\alpha < \kappa} [0, \xi_\alpha]$  and  $X = Y \setminus \{\infty\}$  where  $\infty = (\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha < \kappa}$  endowed with the box topology.

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $A$  is a closed subset of  $X$  (in the box topology) and  $\infty \in \text{cl}_Y A$ , then there is  $\alpha_0 < \kappa$  such that  $\infty \in \text{cl}_Y (A \cap (\prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha < \alpha_0} \{\xi_\alpha\} \times [0, \xi_{\alpha_0}] \times \prod_{\alpha_0 < \alpha < \kappa} \{\xi_\alpha\}))$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, let  $\lambda$  be the least cardinal for which there is a rearrangement of  $\kappa$  such that

$$\infty \in \text{cl}_Y (A \cap (\prod_{\alpha < \lambda} [0, \xi_\alpha] \times \prod_{\lambda \leq \alpha < \kappa} \{\xi_\alpha\})).$$

Therefore if  $\mu < \lambda$ , then because of the closedness of  $A$ , for each  $\alpha < \mu$  there exist  $\zeta_\alpha < \xi_\alpha$  and a neighborhood  $W$  of  $\prod_{\alpha < \mu} [\zeta_\alpha, \xi_\alpha] \times \prod_{\mu \leq \alpha < \kappa} \{\xi_\alpha\}$  such that  $A \cap W = \emptyset$ . Hence for every  $\mu < \beta < \kappa$  and  $\vartheta \in \prod_{\alpha < \mu} [\zeta_\alpha, \xi_\alpha]$  there is  $f_\beta(\vartheta) < \xi_\beta$  such that  $\{\vartheta\} \times \prod_{\mu \leq \beta < \kappa} [f_\beta(\vartheta), \xi_\beta] \subset W$ . Because

$$\zeta_\beta = \sup\{f_\beta(\vartheta) : \vartheta \in \prod_{\alpha < \mu} [\zeta_\alpha, \xi_\alpha]\} < \xi_\beta,$$

we conclude that  $A \cap \prod_{0 \leq \alpha < \kappa} [\zeta_\alpha, \xi_\alpha] = \emptyset$ , what means that  $\infty \notin \text{cl}_Y A$ . ■

**Proposition 4.2.** *If  $\omega_0 < \xi_0$  and (2.1) holds, then for  $m \leq \omega_0$  the non normality and the strong non normality of  $\prod_{0 \leq n < m} [0, \xi_n] \setminus \{\infty\}$  (in the product topology) is  $m$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is a closed subset of  $\prod_{n < m} [0, \xi_n] \setminus \{\infty\}$  in the product topology, then it is closed for the box topology. Hence by Lemma 4.1 either there exists  $n_0$  such that  $\infty \in \text{cl}_Y (A \cap (\prod_{n < n_0} \{\xi_n\} \times [0, \xi_{n_0}] \times \prod_{n_0 < n < m} \{\xi_n\}))$  or for each  $n < m$  there exist non limit ordinals  $\zeta_n < \xi_n$  such that  $A \cap \prod_{n < m} [\zeta_n, \xi_n] = \emptyset$ . With respect to the product topology, the sets  $F_n = \{(x_k)_{k < m} : x_n < \zeta_n\}$  are closed (hence compact) subsets of  $\prod_{n < m} [0, \xi_n]$ , and thus

$$\bigcup_{n < m} F_n = \prod_{n < m} [0, \xi_n] \setminus \prod_{n < m} [\zeta_n, \xi_n]$$

is Lindelöf and (completely) regular, hence normal. Therefore if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a disjoint family of closed subsets of  $\prod_{n < m} [0, \xi_n] \setminus \{\infty\}$ , then there is at most

<sup>4</sup>We are grateful to professor Peter Nyikos (University of South Carolina, Columbia) for this observation that answers a question formulated in a preliminary version.

one  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  which is unbounded in  $\prod_{k < n} \{\xi_k\} \times [0, \xi_n] \times \prod_{n < k < m} \{\xi_k\}$  for  $0 \leq n < m$ , and if (1.1) is non degenerate, then because of the normality of  $\prod_{n < m} [0, \xi_n] \setminus \prod_{n < m} [\zeta_n, \xi_n]$  every  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is unbounded within  $\prod_{k < n} \{\xi_k\} \times [0, \xi_n] \times \prod_{n < k < m} \{\xi_k\}$  for some  $n$ . It follows that the non normality of  $X$  is not greater than  $m$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 2.3 the strong non normality of  $X$  is  $m$ . ■

Even if the cardinals  $\xi_n$  in the construction above are not distinct, the non normality of a topless cube can be equal to the cube dimension. For example, for each  $n < \omega$  the non normality of  $\prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} [0, \omega_1] \setminus \{\infty\}$  is  $n$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Dolecki. Active boundaries of upper semicontinuous and compactoid relations; closed and inductively perfect maps. *Rostock. Math. Coll.*, **54**:51–68, 2000.
- [2] R. Engelking. *Topology*. Heldermann Verlag, 1989.
- [3] M. Hrušák and J. Steprāns. Cardinal invariants related to sequential separability. to appear.
- [4] T. Jech. *Set Theory*. Academic Press, 1978.
- [5] K. Kunen. *Set Theory*. North-Holland, 1980.
- [6] G. M. Reed. On continuous images of Moore spaces. *Canad. J. Math.*, **26**:1475, 1974. 1479.
- [7] F. D. Tall. Normality versus collectionwise normality. In K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, editors, *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*. North-Holland, 1988.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE BOURGOGNE, B. P. 47870,  
21078 DIJON, FRANCE

*E-mail address*: dolecki@u-bourgogne.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EHIME UNIVERSITY, 790-MATSUYAMA, EHIME, JAPAN

*E-mail address*: nogura@dpc.ehime-u.ac.jp

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA "TOR VERGATA", 00133 ROMA,  
ITALY

*E-mail address*: peirone@mat.uniroma2.it

ST. EDMUND HALL, OXFORD OX1 4AR, ENGLAND

*E-mail address*: mike.reed@comlab.ox.ac.uk